

## **THE ROSEN LAW FIRM P.A. BIOGRAPHY**

### **I. ATTORNEYS**

#### **LAURENCE ROSEN - MANAGING PARTNER**

Laurence Rosen is a 1988 graduate of New York University School of Law. He earned an M.B.A. in finance and accounting at the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business and a B.A. in Economics from Emory University. Mr. Rosen served as a law clerk to the Honorable Stanley S. Brotman, Senior United States District Judge for the District of New Jersey. Mr. Rosen entered private practice as an associate at the law firm of Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom in New York City where he participated in a number of complex securities class action and derivative litigation matters. He later served as an associate at McCarter & English in Newark, New Jersey where he specialized in securities and business litigation.

After practicing general securities and commercial litigation in New York City with Solton Rosen & Balakhovsky LLP, Mr. Rosen founded The Rosen Law Firm to represent investors exclusively in securities class actions and derivative litigation. Mr. Rosen is admitted to practice law in New York, California, Florida, New Jersey and the District of Columbia. Mr. Rosen is also admitted to practice before numerous United States District Courts throughout the country and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Fourth, and Sixth Circuits.

#### **PHILLIP KIM – PARTNER**

Mr. Kim graduated from Villanova University School of Law in 2002. He received a B.A. in Economics from The Johns Hopkins University in Baltimore, Maryland in 1999. Prior to joining The Rosen Law Firm, Mr. Kim served as Assistant Corporation Counsel for the City of New York in the Special Federal Litigation Division. In that position, Mr. Kim defended a number of class action lawsuits, litigated numerous individual actions, and participated in more

than seven trials. Mr. Kim focuses his practice on securities class actions and shareholder derivative litigation. Mr. Kim is admitted to the bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice in the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York, the Eastern District of New York and the District of Colorado, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

**JACOB A. GOLDBERG – PARTNER**

Mr. Goldberg is a 1988 graduate of Columbia University. Mr. Goldberg received his J.D., *cum laude*, from the Temple University School of Law in 1992. For over 23 years, Mr. Goldberg has litigated complex cases at the highest levels, championing the rights of investors, employees and consumers. Mr. Goldberg has recovered over \$200 million for investors in securities class actions. In addition to serving in a leadership roles in securities class actions, Mr. Goldberg has litigated many cases under state corporations laws, against faithless boards of directors both on behalf of shareholders, in the mergers and acquisitions context, and, derivatively, on behalf of corporations, to remedy harm to the corporation itself. Mr. Goldberg is admitted to practice law in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Third, Fourth and Sixth Circuits, and various United States District Courts across the country.

**JONATHAN A. SAIDEL – PARTNER**

Mr. Saidel has had a long and distinguished career in Pennsylvania politics, as well as in the roles of attorney, accountant and author. He served as Philadelphia city controller for four consecutive terms, each time earning reelection by a wide margin, and enacting financial reforms that have saved taxpayers upwards of \$500 million. Later, in 2010 he went on to campaign for lieutenant governor of Pennsylvania, where he was runner-up to Scott Conklin by only a few thousand votes out of almost 1 million cast. A Lifelong resident of Northeast Philadelphia, Mr.

Saidel's tireless dedication to fiscal discipline reduced the city's tax burden and spurred economic development. Mr. Saidel also pushed for important business tax incentives and expanded minority and small business lending, all of which have revitalized the city, helping it prosper and come back from the brink of bankruptcy in the early 1990's to become one of the most vibrant cities on the East Coast.

Mr. Saidel's book, "Philadelphia: A New Urban Direction", is widely considered an essential guide for effective government and corporate governance and is required reading at many colleges and universities.

Mr. Saidel received his JD from the Widener University of Law and is a graduate of Temple University. He is also an adjunct lecturer at the University of Pennsylvania Fels Institute of Government, and Drexel University's MBA Program. In addition to being a Certified Public Account, Jonathan is a recipient of the National Association of Local Government Auditor's Knighton Award, the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency Award for Excellence, multiple special project awards from the National Association of Local Government Auditors, and the "Controller of the Year" award, a peer recognition presented by the Pennsylvania City Controllers Association.

#### **KEVIN CHAN - ATTORNEY**

Mr. Chan graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 2012. He received an A.B. in Psychology from Harvard University in 2007. Prior to joining the Rosen Law Firm, Mr. Chan gained substantive experience as an intern with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as part of its Summer Honors Law Program. He is admitted to practice in the State of New York and in the United States District Courts for the Eastern and Southern Districts of New York.

#### **JING CHEN - ATTORNEY**

Ms. Chen received a Juris Doctor degree from Pace University School of Law in 2011, Juris Master degree from China University of Political Science and Law in Beijing, China and B.A. in English Literature and Linguistics from Shandong University in Jinan, China. She is admitted to practice in New York, New Jersey and China. Prior to joining The Rosen Law Firm, Ms. Chen practiced corporate law, commercial transactions and arbitration for over two years.

#### **SARA FUKS – ATTORNEY**

Ms. Fuks graduated from Fordham University School of Law, *cum laude*, in February 2005, where she was a member of Fordham Law Review. She received her B.A. in Political Science, *magna cum laude*, from New York University in 2001. Ms. Fuks began her practice at Dewey Ballantine, LLP where she focused on general commercial litigation and then went on to prosecute numerous ERISA and securities class actions as an associate at Milberg LLP. Ms. Fuks is admitted to the bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice in the United States Southern and Eastern District Courts of New York.

#### **GONEN HAKLAY – ATTORNEY**

Mr. Haklay graduated from Stanford University School of Law in 1995. He received a B.A. in Political Science from The University of Massachusetts at Amherst in 1992. After several years as an associate at a large Philadelphia law firm, Mr. Haklay joined the Philadelphia District Attorney's office. As a prosecutor, he tried over 100 criminal jury cases and handled both capital and non-capital homicide cases. After 12 years as prosecutor, Mr. Haklay joined a prominent plaintiffs' firm where he tried over ten asbestos cases, recovering millions of dollars for his clients. As a young man, Mr. Haklay served as an infantryman in the Israel Defense Forces. Mr. Haklay is admitted to the bars of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, the State of

New Jersey, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, and the United States Third Circuit Court of Appeals.

**JONATHAN HORNE- ATTORNEY**

Mr. Horne is a 2009 graduate of New York University School of Law, where he received the Lederman/Milbank Law, Economics, and Business fellowship, and holds a B.A. in Economics & Philosophy from the University of Toronto. Mr. Horne began his practice at Kaye Scholer LLP. Mr. Horne specializes in securities litigation. He is admitted to practice in New York and the United States District Courts for the District of Colorado and the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York. Mr. Horne was named a Super Lawyer – Rising Star for the New York Metro Area.

**KEITH R. LORENZE – ATTORNEY**

Mr. Lorenze graduated from the University of Virginia School of Law in 2002. He received a B.A. in Political Science & History, *summa cum laude*, from the State University of New York at Binghamton, where he was elected to Phi Beta Kappa. Mr. Lorenze served as a judicial law clerk at both the trial and appellate court levels. Following the completion of his clerkships, he entered private practice, where he worked at small, mid-sized, and large law firms in Philadelphia, New York, and Houston. Mr. Lorenze is admitted to practice in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, New York, Texas, and various United States District Courts around the country.

**ALESSANDRA C. PHILLIPS – ATTORNEY**

Ms. Phillips graduated from Temple University School of Law in 2007. She received her B.A. from Yale University in 1996. Ms. Phillips practices complex securities class action litigation and derivative, corporate and commercial litigation in Federal and state courts, including the Delaware Court of Chancery. She previously represented corporations, alternative

entities, directors and officers, shareholders, members and partners in disputes involving expedited or injunctive relief, corporate governance, breach of fiduciary duty, contract, fraud, and statutory claims for access to corporate records, control contests, and appraisal. She has represented clients in appellate litigation before the Third Circuit, arbitrations before the AAA, and False Claims Act cases in conjunction with the United States Department of Justice. Prior to joining the Rosen Law Firm in 2016, Ms. Phillips was part of a litigation boutique in Wilmington, Delaware. She began her legal career at Grant & Eisenhofer, P.A. She is the co-author of Chapter 8, "The New Jersey Uniform Securities Law," of the 2015 New Jersey Mass Tort and Class Action Treatise (New Jersey Institute for Continuing Legal Education, 2015). Ms. Phillips is admitted to practice in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Delaware, and New Jersey.

#### **YU SHI – ATTORNEY**

Mr. Shi received his J.D. from Columbia University School of Law in 2011 and his B.A., *cum laude*, from Columbia University in 2008. Prior to joining The Rosen Law Firm, Mr. Shi served as a Special Assistant Corporation Counsel in the New York City Law Department's Economic Development Division, where he worked on business and commercial transactions involving the City of New York. Mr. Shi focuses his practice on securities litigation. He is admitted to practice in the State of New York and the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York.

#### **JONATHAN STERN – ATTORNEY**

Mr. Stern graduated from New York University School of Law in May of 2008, where he was a Development Editor of the Annual Survey of American Law. He received his B.A. in Philosophy with Honors from McGill University. Mr. Stern began his practice in the litigation department of Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, and then went on to practice at the litigation

boutique of Simon & Partners LLP, where he participated in a Federal trial. Mr. Stern is admitted to the bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice in the United States Southern and Eastern District Courts of New York.

**ERICA STONE- ATTORNEY**

Ms. Stone graduated from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law in 2013. She received her B.A. in Political Science and Communications, *cum laude*, from the University of Pennsylvania in 2009. She is admitted to practice in New York, New Jersey, and the United States District Courts for the Southern District of New York and the District of New Jersey.

**CHRISTOPHER S. HINTON – OF COUNSEL**

Mr. Hinton is admitted to the bars of the State of New York, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin, and the United States District Court for the District of Nebraska. He received a B.A. degree in Economics and Political Science in 1997, *magna cum laude*, from Marquette University, where he was elected to Phi Beta Kappa, and received a J.D. degree, cum laude, from University of Illinois College of Law at Champaign in 2002. His primary area of practice is securities and ERISA class action litigation. He co-authored Foreign Investors Serving as Lead Plaintiffs in U.S.- Based Securities Cases, International Practice Section Newsletter (Association of Trial Lawyers of America, Washington, D.C.), Winter 2004 and Spring 2005. Mr. Hinton has been a member of the plaintiffs' bar since 2003 and has focused on class action litigation.

**DANIEL SADEH – LAW CLERK**

Mr. Sadeh graduated from the Georgetown University Law Center in 2015. He received his B.A. from CUNY Queens College in 2012. Mr. Sadeh is not admitted to practice to law. Mr. Sadeh's admission to the New York bar is pending.

## **II. RECENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS OF THE ROSEN LAW FIRM PA**

Beck v. Walter Investment Management, No. 14-cv-20880-UU. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of Florida. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing its true financial condition. The parties have agreed to a partial settlement of the action for \$24 million in cash, pending Court approval.

Deering v. Galena Biopharma, Inc., No. 3:14-cv-00367-SI (Partial Settlement). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for District of Oregon. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing an undisclosed stock promotion scheme. The parties have agreed to a partial settlement of the action for \$20 million consisting of \$19 million in cash and \$1 million in stock.

In re Silvercorp Metals, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 12-CV-9456 (JSR). The Rosen Law Firm was counsel to lead plaintiff in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial information. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$14 million in cash.

Hellum v. Prosper Marketplace, Inc., No. CGC-08-482329. The Rosen Law Firm was class counsel in this certified class action in California Superior Court, San Francisco County alleging violations of the Securities Act of 1933 and the California Corporations Code in connection with defendants' offer and sale of unregistered securities. Plaintiffs settled this action for \$10 million in cash.



In re Textainer Financial Servs. Corp., No. CGC 05-440303. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the California Superior Court, San Francisco County alleging breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the sale of the assets of six related publicly traded limited partnerships. After winning the first phase of a multi-phase bench trial, Plaintiffs obtained a \$10 million cash settlement for class members.

Friedman v. Quest Energy Partners LP, et al., No. CIV-08-936-M. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel on behalf of purchasers of Quest Resource Corporation's securities in this consolidated class action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements in connection with the Company's former CEO and CFO misappropriating nearly \$10 million. All classes and parties to this litigation settled this action for \$10.1 million in cash.

Hufnagle v. RINO International Corporation, No. CV 10-8695-VBF (VBKx). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of revenue and earnings. The parties settled this action against the company and its auditor for a total of \$8,685,000 in cash.

In re Puda Coal Securities Litigation, No. 11-CV-2598 (DLC) (Partial Settlement). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of the Exchange Act and Securities Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The parties agreed to settle Plaintiffs'

claims against the underwriters and certain other defendants for \$8.7 million. The case continues against other defendants.

Blitz v. AgFeed Industries, No. 3:11-0992. The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial information. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$7 million in cash.

Cole v. Duoyuan Printing, Inc., No. 10-CV-7325(GBD). The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial condition and adequacy of the Company's internal controls. Plaintiffs and the issuer defendants agreed to a partial settlement of \$4.3 million cash payment to class members. Plaintiffs and the underwriters agreed to a separate \$1,893,750 cash payment to class members. The total settlement was \$6,193,750 in cash.

In re Nature's Sunshine Products, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 2:06-cv-00267-TS-SA. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Class Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Utah. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's materially false and misleading statements concerning its financial statements and business practices. Following the certification of the class and extensive discovery, Plaintiffs agreed to settle this case for \$6 million in cash.

Miller v. Global Geophysical Services, No. 14-CV-708. The Rosen Law Firm was Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for Southern of Texas. The

complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act arising out a financial restatement. The parties settled this case for \$5.3 million in cash.

Bensley v. FalconStor Software, Inc., No. 10-CV-4672 (ERK) (CLP). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial and business condition. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$5 million in cash.

In re Entropin, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. CV 04-6180-RC. The Rosen Law Firm was counsel to Plaintiff in this securities class action in the United States District Court for the Central District of California, and Lead Counsel in the related class action brought in California state court against Entropin, Inc., a defunct pharmaceutical company. These actions alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and violations various state securities laws arising out of allegedly false and misleading statements about the Company's lead drug candidate Esterom, respectively. On the eve of trial, Defendants agreed to settle these cases for a \$4.5 million cash payment to class members.

Fitzpatrick v. Uni-Pixel, Inc., No. 13-CV-01649. The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing its true financial condition. The parties settled this action for \$4.5 million consisting of \$2.35 million in cash and \$2.15 million in stock.

Munoz v. China Expert Technology, Inc., Case No. 07-CV-10531 (AKH). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern

District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of: (a) the Company's issuance of materially false statements of revenues and earnings; and (b) the Company's auditors' issuance of materially false and misleading "clean" audit opinions. The parties settled this action for \$4.2 million cash payment to class members.

Stanger v. China Electric Motor, Inc., Case no. CV 11-2794-R (AGRx). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 in connection with the Company's \$22.5 million initial public offering. The parties settled this action for \$3,778,333.33 in cash.

Rose v. Deer Consumer Products, Inc., No. CV11-3701 –DMG (MRWx). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising from the issuance of false statements concerning the Company's true financial condition. Plaintiffs settled their claims against Deer and its auditor through two settlements totaling \$3.55 million in cash.

In re L&L Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 13-CV-6704 (RA). The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of false financial statements. The parties settled this action for \$3.5 million in cash.

Sood v. Catalyst Pharmaceutical Partners, Inc., No. 13-CV-23878-UU. The Rosen Law Firm was sole lead counsel in this class action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern

District of Florida. The complaint alleged that the Company failed to disclose material facts about its primary drug candidate. The parties settled this action for \$3.5 million in cash.

Cheung v. Keyuan Petrochemicals, Inc., No. 13-cv-6057 (PAC). The Rosen Law firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with the Company's failure to disclose material related party transactions in periodic reports it filed with the SEC. The parties settled this action for \$2.65 million in cash. Separately, in the related case Omanoff v. Patrizio & Zhao LLC, No. 2:14-cv-723-FSH-JBC, The Rosen Law Firm was sole lead counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaint alleged that Patrizio & Zhao, LLC, as auditor for Keyuan Petrochemicals, Inc., issued materially false and misleading audit opinions. The parties have settled this action for \$850,000 in cash. The total recovery for Keyuan investors was \$3.5 million.

In re StockerYale, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 1:05-cv-00177. The Rosen Law Firm served as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Hampshire. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b, 20(a) and 20A of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of allegedly false and misleading press releases regarding certain contracts the Company claimed to have signed. Plaintiffs settled this class action for \$3.4 million cash payment to class members.

Mallozzi v. Industrial Enterprises of America, Inc., Case No. 07-CV-10321 (GBD). The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and

misleading statements of revenues and earnings. During the pendency of the Company's bankruptcy, the parties settled this class action for \$3.4 million in cash.

Ding v. Roka Bioscience, Inc., No. 14-8020 (FLW). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for District of New Jersey. The complaint alleges violations of §§11 and 15 of the Securities Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The parties have agreed to settle this case for \$3.275 million in cash, pending Court approval.

Meruelo Capital Partners 2, LLC et al. v. Wedbush Morgan Securities, Inc., Case no. BC 352498. The Rosen Law Firm was co-counsel to plaintiffs in this action brought in California Superior Court, Los Angeles County for violations of the California State securities laws against the securities issuer and broker-dealer in connection with the sale of \$2.5 million worth of securities. On the eve of trial, plaintiffs settled the claims against the issuer for a cash payment of \$1 million. Following an eight day jury trial, Plaintiffs obtained a jury verdict in their favor and against the underwriter for over \$2.2 million (which included prejudgment interest). In sum, plaintiffs recovered over \$3.2 million, which represented 100% of plaintiffs' principal investment of \$2.5 million and over \$700,000 in prejudgment interest. The verdict was affirmed by the California 2<sup>nd</sup> District Court of Appeal.

Ray v. TierOne Corporation, Case No. 10CV199. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action brought in the U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of earnings and the Company's banking operations and business. The parties settled this action for \$3.1 million in cash.

Van Wingerden v. Cadiz, Inc., No. CV-15-3080-JAK-JEM. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The parties settled this action for \$3 million in cash, pending court approval.

Pham v. China Finance Online Co. Limited, No. CV 15-CV-7894 (RMB). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The parties settled this action for \$3 million in cash, pending court approval.

In re Skilled Healthcare Group, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 2:09-CV-5416-DOC (RZx). The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of the §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of revenue and earnings. Plaintiffs settled this action for \$3 million in cash.

Abrams v. MiMedx Group, Inc., No. 1:13-cv-03074-TWT. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of false statements relating the regulatory compliance of its products. The parties settled this action for \$2.979 million.

Madden v. Pegasus Communications Corp, Case No. 2:05-cv-0568. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of allegedly false and misleading statements concerning the Company's direct broadcast satellite agreement with DirecTV and the Company's reported subscriber growth and totals. Plaintiffs settled this action for a \$2.95 million cash payment to class members.

In re TVIA, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. C-06-06403-RMW. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b, 20(a), 20A of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements by virtue of the Company improper recognition of revenues in violation of GAAP. Plaintiffs settled this action for a \$2.85 million cash payment to class members.

Zagami v. Natural Health Trends Corp., et al., Case No. 3:06-CV-1654-D. The Rosen Law Firm served as sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The complaint alleged violations of § 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements in violation of GAAP. Plaintiffs settled this case for \$2.75 million cash payment to class members.

Romero v. Growlife, Inc., Case No. 2:14-cv-03015-CAS (JEMx). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising the issuance of false statements concerning the Company's true financial



condition. The parties settled this action for total consideration of \$2.7 million, comprised of \$700,000 in cash and \$2 million in stock.

Nguyen v. Radiant Pharmaceuticals Corporation, Case No. CV11-0405-DOC (MLGx).

The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of false statements concerning the Company's clinical trial involving its principal product. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$2.5 million in cash.

In re Robert T. Harvey Securities Litigation, Case No. SA CV-04-0876 DOC (PJWx).

The Rosen Law Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California and the related California state court class actions. This action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the sale of partnership interests that corresponded to the securities of Chaparral Network Storage and AirPrime, Inc., n/k/a Sierra Wireless, Inc. Plaintiffs settled this and the related state court actions for an aggregate \$2.485 million cash payment to class members.

In re China Education Alliance, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. C 10-9239-CAS (JCx).

The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of revenue and earnings. The parties settled this action for \$2.425 million in cash.

Kubala v. SkyPeople Fruit Juice, No. 11-CV-2700 (PKC). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act out of the Company's failure to disclose material related party transactions that rendered the

Company's financial statements false. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$2.2 million in cash.

In re Fuwei Films Securities Litigation, Case no. 07-CV-9416 (RJS). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 in connection with material misrepresentations in the Company's Registration Statement and Prospectus in connection with the Company's \$35 million IPO. The parties settled this action for \$2.15 million cash payment to class members.

Snellink v. Gulf Resources, Inc., No.CV11-3722-ODW (MRWx). The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's failure to disclose the related party nature of certain transactions, and the Company's issuance of false financial statements. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$2.125 million in cash.

Snellink v. Universal Travel Group, Inc., Case No.11-CV-2164 (SDW). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising the issuance of false statements concerning the Company's true financial condition. The parties have preliminarily agreed to a partial settlement of this action for \$2 million in cash, pending court approval.

Henning v. Orient Paper, Inc., No. CV 10-5887-VBF (AJWx). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and certain violations of the Securities Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially

false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial condition and business prospects. The parties settled this action for \$2 million in cash.

Pena v. iBio, Inc., 14-CV-1343-RGA. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of misstatements and omissions relating to the Company's purported involvement with an Ebola treatment. The parties settled this action for \$1.875 million in cash.

Campton v. Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc., No. 12-CV-2196. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas. The complaint alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 in connection with material misrepresentations in the Company's Registration Statement and Prospectus issued for the company's IPO. The parties settled this action for \$1.8 million in cash.

Petrie v. Electronic Game Card, Inc., No. SACV 10-0252-DOC (RNBx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this certified class action pending the United States District Court for the Central District of California. Following dismissal of the complaint by the district court, the Rosen Firm obtained a reversal of the dismissal from U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements in violation of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and the Company's publicly stated internal policies. The parties have agreed to settle this case for \$1.755 million in cash, pending Court approval.

Hayden v. Wang, et al., No. Civ. 518333. The Rosen Law Firm was sole lead counsel in this class action in the California Superior Court of San Mateo County brought on behalf of purchasers of Worldwide Energy & Manufacturing USA, Inc. common stock in two private

placements. The Complaint alleged that the offering documents were materially false. The parties settled this action for \$1,615,000 in cash.

Burritt v. Nutracea, Inc., Case No.CV-09-00406-PHX-FJM. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona. This action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Arizona securities laws in connection with the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements of earnings and revenues. During the pendency of the Company's bankruptcy, Plaintiffs settled this action for \$1.5 million in cash and a remainder interest of 50% of the issuer's directors' and officers' liability insurance policy.

Press v. Delstaff LLC, No. MSC 09-01051. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the California Superior Court for Contra Costa County, brought in connection with a "going private" transaction valued at \$1.25/share for the 6.4 million shares implicated in the transaction. The parties settled this action for \$1,642,500 in additional compensation to shareholders.

In re Lightinthebox Holding Co., Ltd., 13-CV-6016 (PKC). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing its true financial condition. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$1.55 million in cash.

Guimetla v. Ambow Education Holding Ltd., No. CV-12-5062-PSG (AJWx). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action filed in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$1.5 million.

Lee v. Active Power, Inc., No. 1:13-cv-00797. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Texas. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of false statements relating to a purported distribution agreement with a major information technology provider. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$1.5 million.

In re Northfield Laboratories, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 06 C 1493. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Illinois. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's materially false and misleading statements concerning its PolyHeme blood substitute product and business prospects. Following extensive class discovery and litigation activity in bankruptcy court, the parties agreed to settle this action for \$1.5 million in cash.

In re PartsBase.com, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 01-8319. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The action arose from a \$45.5 million initial public offering of common stock by the defendant issuer and a syndicate of underwriters including Roth Capital Partners and PMG Capital Corp. Plaintiffs settled this action for \$1.5 million in cash.

Vandavelde v. China Natural Gas, Inc., No. 10-728-SLR. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in the class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. Plaintiffs settled this action for \$1.5 million in cash.

Simmons v. FAB Universal Corp., No. 13-CV-8216 (RWS). The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for Southern District

of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing its true financial condition. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$1.5 million in cash.

In re Empyrean Bioscience Securities Litigation, Case No. 1:02CV1439. This class action in which the Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The action alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act based on misrepresentations in defendants' SEC filings and press releases concerning the clinical testing of the Company's GEDA Plus microbicide gel. After the court denied defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint, the parties briefed the issue of whether the securities were traded in an efficient market. Prior to a decision on market efficiency, Plaintiffs settled the case for a \$1.4 million payment to class members.

In re Himax Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. C 07-4891-DDP. The Rosen Law Firm served as Co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California, Western Division. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 11 and 15 of the Securities Act arising out of the Company's IPO. Plaintiffs agreed to settle this case for \$1.2 million cash payment to class members.

In re Flight Safety Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation, Case No. 3:04-cv-1175. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Connecticut. The action alleged violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the defendants alleged failure to disclose material adverse information concerning the Company's products under development and misrepresenting the amount of time it would take to commercialize the products. Plaintiffs settled the case for a \$1.2 million cash payment to class members.

In re: M.H. Meyerson & Co. Securities Litigation, Case No. 02-CV-2724. This class action, in which the Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel, was filed in U.S. District Court for District of New Jersey. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act based on allegedly false and misleading SEC filings related to the planned launch of an online brokerage business, and other material misrepresentations, which allegedly inflated the price of Meyerson stock during the class period. Plaintiffs settled the case for a \$1.2 million payment to class members.

In re OPUS360 Corp. Securities Litigation, Case No. 01-Civ-2938. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel for this action brought in the Southern District of New York alleging violations of the federal securities laws arising from a \$75.0 million initial public offering of common stock by the defendant issuer and a syndicate of underwriters including JP Morgan and Robertson Stephens, Inc. The Court certified the action as a class action and approved a final settlement.

Ansell v. National Lampoon, Inc., Case No. CV10-9292-PA (AGRx). The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of a market manipulation scheme involving National Lampoon's common stock. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$1 million in cash.

Fouladian v. Busybox.com, Inc., Case No. BC 248048. The Rosen Law Firm was Co-Lead Counsel in this class action brought in California Superior Court, Los Angeles County. The action arose from a \$12.8 million initial public offering of securities by the defendant issuer and underwriter. California and federal securities laws claims (Cal. Corp. Code §25401 and §11 of 1933 Act) were brought on behalf of a nationwide class of public offering investors. The Court approved a \$1.0 million cash settlement to a nationwide class of investors.

Singh v. Tri-Tech Holding, Inc., No. 13-CV-9031 (KMW). The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing its true financial condition. The parties settled this action for \$975,000 in cash.

Howard v. Chanticleer Holdings, Inc., No. 12-CV-81123-JIC. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The complaint alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 in connection with material misrepresentations in the Company's Registration Statement and Prospectus issued for the Company's public offering of common stock and warrants. The parties agreed to settle this action for \$850,000 in cash.

Pollock v. China Ceramics Co. Ltd, No. 1:14-cv-4100 (VSB). The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's lack of internal controls. The parties settled this action for \$850,000, consisting of \$310,000 in cash and \$540,000 in stock.

Katz v. China Century Dragon Media, Inc., Case no. CV 11-02769 JAK (SSx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. Following entry of default against the issuer and certification of the class, the non-issuer defendants and Plaintiffs have preliminarily agreed to resolve the claims against the non-issuer defendants for \$778,333.33, subject to court approval.



In re China Intelligent Lighting and Electronics, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 2:11-CV-02768 PSG (SSx). The Rosen Law Firm was co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleged violations of §§ 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933 and §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The parties agreed to partially settle this action for \$631,600 in cash. A default judgment was obtained against the issuer.

Gianoukas v. Tullio and Riiska, Case No. 02CC18223. The Rosen Law Firm was lead counsel to a group of twenty-one plaintiffs that brought claims of fraud and negligent misrepresentation in California Superior Court, Orange County against the former Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers of a publicly traded software company, NQL Inc. The complaint alleged that the officers issued a series of false and misleading press releases concerning the business of NQL for the purpose of inducing the purchase and retention of NQL securities. Plaintiffs settled the action favorably for a confidential amount.

The BoxLot Company v. InfoSpace, Inc., Case No. GIC 779231. The Rosen Law Firm was plaintiff's counsel for this action filed in California Superior Court, San Diego County which arose from the aborted merger agreement and ultimate sale of The BoxLot Company's assets to InfoSpace. The action alleged violations of California securities laws (Cal. Corp. Code §25400 & §25401) and common laws and sought damages of \$92.8 million from InfoSpace and its CEO, Naveen Jain. The case settled favorably for plaintiffs for a confidential amount.

Teague v. Alternate Energy Holdings, Inc., No. 10-CV-634-BLW. The Rosen Law Firm was sole Lead Counsel in this class action in the U.S. District Court for the District of Idaho. The complaint alleged violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out

of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements and business condition. The parties settled this action for \$450,000.

Huttenstine v. Mast, Case No. 4:05-cv-152 F(3). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's material misstatements and omissions concerning the nature of certain sales contracts it had entered into. Plaintiffs have preliminarily agreed to settle this action for a \$425,000 cash payment to class members.

Kinzinger v. Paradigm Medical Industries, Inc., Case No. 03-0922608. The Rosen Law Firm served as sole Lead Counsel in this class action filed in Utah state court alleged violations of the Utah Securities Act against Paradigm Medical arising out of false and misleading statements made to investors in a \$5.0 million private placement of securities. The court approved a \$625,000 settlement on behalf of the private placement purchasers.

### **III. SECURITIES CLASS ACTIONS IN WHICH THE ROSEN LAW FIRM P.A. IS CURRENTLY LEAD COUNSEL**

Cianci v. Blue Earth, Inc., No. CV-14-08263 DSF (JEMx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of the Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

Garcia v. Lentuo International, Inc., CV-15-1862-MWF (MRWx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of the Exchange Act

arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements.

This action is at the pleading stage.

In re Puda Coal Securities Litigation, No. 11-CV-2598 (DLC). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S.

District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of the Exchange Act and Securities Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The class is certified and this action is in discovery.

In re Lihua International, Inc. Sec. Litig., No. 14-CV-5037 (RA). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is in discovery.

Gauque v. Albany Molecular Research, No. 14-CV-6637 (FB) (SMG). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court of the Eastern District of New York. The complaint alleges violation of §10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act out of the Company's misstatements about its true financial condition and prospects. This action is in discovery.

In re China XD Plastics Company Limited Securities Litigation, No. 1:14-cv-05308 (GBD). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of false financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

In re Montage Technology Group Limited Securities Litigation, No. 3:2014-cv-0722 (SI). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action

pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of false statements relating to certain undisclosed related party transactions and the Company's revenue. The class has been certified and the action is in discovery.

Yang v. Tibet Pharmaceuticals, Inc., No. 14-cv-3538. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaint alleges violations of the Securities Act of 1933 in connection with material misrepresentations in the Company's Registration Statement and Prospectus issued for the Company's public offering of common stock. The action is in discovery.

Luo v. Qiao Xing Universal Resources, Inc., No. 12-45-WAL-GWC. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court of the Virgin Islands, St. Croix Division. The complaint alleges violations of the Exchange Act in connection with the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The action is at the pleading stage.

Youngers v. Virtus Investment Partners, Inc., No. CV-15-8262 (WHP). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of the Exchange Act and Securities Action in connection with the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements to investors in the Company's Virtus AlphaSector Mutual Funds. This action is in discovery.

In re Poseidon Concepts Securities Litigation, No. 13-CV-1213 (DLC). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§ 10b and

20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of false financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

Berry v. KIOR, Inc., No. 13-CV-2443. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is in discovery.

Deering v. Galena Biopharma, Inc., No. 3:14-cv-00367-SI. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for District of Oregon. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing an undisclosed stock promotion scheme. A partial settlement for \$20 million was reached with certain defendants, pending Court approval. The case proceeds against other defendants.

Kelsey v. Textura Corporation, No. 14 C 7837. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Northern District of Illinois. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out allegations that the Company misstated its true financial condition. This action is in discovery.

In re Forcefield Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 15-cv-3020 (NRB). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading information. The case is at the pleading stage.

Bonanno v. Cellular Biomedicine Group, Inc., No. 15-cv-1795-WHO. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Northern District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading information. The case is at the pleading stage.

Feola v. Appliance Recycling Centers of America, Inc., No. CV-15-1654 (JAK) (AJWx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

In re Silver Wheaton Corp. Securities Litigation, No. 15-cv-5146-CAS. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. This action is in discovery.

Knox v. Yingli Green Energy Holding Co. Ltd., No. 2:15-cv-4003. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

Pirnik v. Fiat Chrysler Automobiles, N.V., 15-CV-7199 (JMF). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about its true business condition. This action is at the pleading stage.

Huang v. Sonus Networks, Inc., et al., No. 15-cv-2407-FLW-LHG. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false statements about its true business condition and prospects. This action is at the pleading stage.

Napoli v. Ampio Pharmaceuticals, Inc., CV-3474-TJH. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false statements regarding the clinical testing of one its products. This action is at the pleading stage.

Xu v. ChinaCache International Holdings, Ltd., No. CV 15-7952-CAS. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage.

Castillo v. 6D Global Technologies, Inc., No. 15-cv-8061 (RWS). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false statements about the improper stock manipulation. This action is at the pleading stage.

Wyche v. Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc., No. 15-cv-5955 (KPF). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District

Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false financial statements. This action is at the pleading stage.

In re IDreamSky Technology Limited Securities Litigation, No. 15-cv-2514 (JPO). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act §§ 11 and 20(a) of the Securities Act and arising out of the issuance of misleading business information. This action is at the pleading stage.

Ford v. Natural Health Trends Corp., No. 16-00255 TJH (AFM). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. This action is at the pleading stage.

Vaccaro v. New Source Energy Partners LP, No. 15-CV-8954 (KMW). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§11 and 15 of the Securities Act arising out of the company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. This action is at the pleading stage.

L&G Rubin Family Trust v. SouFun Holdings Limited, No. 2:15-cv-8508-CAS-JEM. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the company's issuance of



materially false and misleading information about its true financial condition. This action is at the pleading stage.

In re IsoRay, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 15-cv-5046-LRD. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Washington. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company misstating certain study results relating to the Company's products. This action is in discovery.

Tapia-Matos v. Caesarstone Sdot-Yam Ltd., No. 15-CV-6726 (JMF). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial condition and business prospects. This action is in discovery.

Menaldi v. Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC, No. 14-CV-3251 (JPO). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of New York. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. This action is in discovery.

Li v. Aeterna Zentaris, Inc., No. 14-CV-07081 (PGS). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for District of New Jersey. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. This action is in discovery.

Ardolino v. Mannkind Corporation, No. CV 16-00348-RGK (GJSx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company misstating its true business condition. This case is at the pleading stage.

Thomas v. Shiloh Industries, Inc., No. 15-CV-7449 (KMW). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The case is at the pleading stage.

Tran v. ERBA Diagnostics, Inc., No. 15-cv-24440. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of Florida. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading financial statements. The case is at the pleading stage.

Zamier v. Bridgepoint Education, Inc., No. 3:15-CV-408-JLS-DHB. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Southern District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage.

Silverstein v. Globus Medical, Inc., No. 15-cv-5386. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for Eastern District of Pennsylvania. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage.

Duane & Virginia Lanier Trust v. Sandridge Energy, Inc., et al. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Oklahoma. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage.

Turocy v. El Pollo Loco Holdings, Inc., No. CV-15-1343-DOC. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage.

Carmack v. Amaya, Inc., No. 16-cv-1884-JHR-JS. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage.

In re ChinaCast Education Corporation Sec. Litig., No. CV 12-4621- JFW (PLAx). The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this consolidated class action. Following dismissal of the complaint by the district court, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit overturned the dismissal. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company overstating its assets and cash balances and misstating the Company's internal controls. The action is in discovery.

Parmelee v. Santander Consumer USA Holdings Inc., No. 3:16-cv-783-K. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage.

In re DS Healthcare Group, Inc. Sec. Litig., No. 16-60661-CIV-DIMITROULEAS. The Rosen Law Firm is currently serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action pending in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Florida. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information. The case is at the pleading stage

In re DNTW Chartered Accountant Securities Litigation, No. 13-CV-4632 (PGG). The Rosen Law Firm is serving as sole lead counsel in this class action currently on appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The complaint alleges that DNTW, as auditor for Subaye Inc., issued materially false and misleading audit opinions.

Khunt v. Alibaba Group Holding Ltd., No. 15-CV-759 (CM). The Rosen Law Firm is serving as sole lead counsel in this class action currently on appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading business information.

Rapp v. Accelerate Diagnostics, Inc., CV-15-00504-PHX-SPL. The Rosen Law Firm is serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action currently on appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The complaint alleges violations of the Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's main product.

In re: ChannelAdvisor Corporation Sec. Litig., No. 1:15-cv-307-F. The Rosen Law Firm is serving as sole Lead Counsel in this class action currently on appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company concealing its true financial condition.

Stephen v. Uranium Energy Corp., No. 15-CV-1862. The Rosen Law Firm is serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action currently on appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company's issuance of materially false and misleading statements about the Company's true financial condition.

Bressler v. Zafgen, Inc., No. 15-13618-FDS. The Rosen Law Firm is serving as co-Lead Counsel in this class action currently on appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit. The complaint alleges violations of §§10b and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act arising out of the Company misstating certain study results relating to the Company's products.